# Elliptic curves LMS Summer School 2023

#### Lewis Combes

University of Sheffield

э

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

A **Diophantine equation** is an integer polynomial equation in two or more variables.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

A **Diophantine equation** is an integer polynomial equation in two or more variables.

The only solutions of a Diophantine equation we care about are *integral* solutions. And sometimes rational solutions.

- E > - E >

A **Diophantine equation** is an integer polynomial equation in two or more variables.

The only solutions of a Diophantine equation we care about are *integral* solutions. And sometimes rational solutions.

Examples:

• 
$$x^{2} + y^{2} = z^{2}$$
  
•  $x^{3} + y^{3} = z^{3}$   
•  $x^{2} - y^{3} = 1$   
•  $x^{3} + (x + 1)^{3} + (x + 2)^{3} = y^{3}$ 

The simplest Diohpantine equation is the linear equation in two variables:

$$ax + by = c$$

for integers *a*, *b*, *c*.

∃ ► < ∃ ►

The simplest Diohpantine equation is the linear equation in two variables:

$$ax + by = c$$

for integers a, b, c. The solutions to this equation can be found by a simple rearrangement:

$$y=rac{c-ax}{b}.$$

The simplest Diohpantine equation is the linear equation in two variables:

$$ax + by = c$$

for integers a, b, c. The solutions to this equation can be found by a simple rearrangement:

$$y=rac{c-ax}{b}.$$

The integral solutions to this equation are well-understood. There are infinitely many solutions, or none, depending on the gcd of a, b and whether it divides c.

After linear equations, come quadratic equations.

$$ax^{2} + bxy + cy^{2} + dx + ey + f = 0.$$

▶ ∢ ∃ ▶

After linear equations, come quadratic equations.

$$ax^{2} + bxy + cy^{2} + dx + ey + f = 0.$$

Finding the rational solutions to these equations is a solved problem, and uses the *p*-adic numbers.

Going up to degree 3, we get equations of the form:

$$ax^{3} + bx^{2}y + cxy^{2} + dy^{3} + ex^{2} + fxy + gy^{2} + hx + iy + j = 0.$$

∃ ► < ∃ ►

Going up to degree 3, we get equations of the form:

$$ax^{3} + bx^{2}y + cxy^{2} + dy^{3} + ex^{2} + fxy + gy^{2} + hx + iy + j = 0.$$

We can use linear transformations to put this equation in a "standard form":

$$E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B,$$

for some  $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}$ . This is an **elliptic curve**.

4 B K 4 B K

#### Points on elliptic curves

$$E: y^2 = x^3 - 4x + 4$$

Points on E:

$$\begin{aligned} (x,y) &= (-1,\sqrt{7}) \\ &= (-5,\sqrt{-101}) \\ &= \left(\frac{\sqrt[3]{18} - 2\sqrt{33}}{\sqrt[3]{3}^2} - \frac{\sqrt[3]{2}^5}{\sqrt[3]{27} - 3\sqrt{33}}, 0\right) \\ &= (310,5458) \end{aligned}$$

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

One again, we care about rational points on these curves. Figuring out whether there are is hard.

3 1 4 3 1

One again, we care about rational points on these curves. Figuring out whether there are is **hard**.

Example:

$$y^2 = x^3 + x + 29 \rightsquigarrow$$
 no rational points  
 $y^2 = x^3 + x + 30 \rightsquigarrow 1$  rational point  
 $y^2 = x^3 + x + 31 \rightsquigarrow$  infinitely many rational points

3 1 4 3 1

One again, we care about rational points on these curves. Figuring out whether there are is **hard**.

Example:

$$y^2 = x^3 + x + 29 \rightsquigarrow$$
 no rational points  
 $y^2 = x^3 + x + 30 \rightsquigarrow 1$  rational point  
 $y^2 = x^3 + x + 31 \rightsquigarrow$  infinitely many rational points

There is an algorithm to figure out the exact number of points that always works. Nobody knows if it *does* always work. If you can prove it does, you can claim a \$1,000,000 prize from the Clay Institute.



(a)  $y^2 = x^3 - x + 1$  (b)  $y^2 = x^3 - 63x - 18$ 

Figure: Elliptic curve pictures borrowed from the LMFDB.

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Diophantus of Alexandria wrote *Arithmetica* around 200AD. It consisted of many problems that we would recognise as Diophantine equations.

One such problem invites the reader: *"to divide a given number into two numbers such that their product is a cube minus its side."* 

$$Y(a-Y)=X^3-X.$$

Diophantus of Alexandria wrote *Arithmetica* around 200AD. It consisted of many problems that we would recognise as Diophantine equations.

One such problem invites the reader: *"to divide a given number into two numbers such that their product is a cube minus its side."* 

$$Y(a-Y)=X^3-X.$$

Diophantus went on to find solutions in the case of a = 6:

$$6Y - Y^2 = X^3 - X.$$

Can you spot any easy ones?

$$E: 6Y - Y^2 = X^3 - X.$$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

$$E: 6Y - Y^2 = X^3 - X.$$

Unsatisfying to us, and probably Diophantus too. Ancient Greek mathematics was concerned with real quantities. Negatives and zeros were generally understood to be ignored as "less interesting".

• • = • • = •

$$E: 6Y - Y^2 = X^3 - X.$$

Unsatisfying to us, and probably Diophantus too. Ancient Greek mathematics was concerned with real quantities. Negatives and zeros were generally understood to be ignored as "less interesting".

Another easy(ish) solution: (X, Y) = (1, 6).

イロト イヨト イヨト ・

$$E: 6Y - Y^2 = X^3 - X.$$

Unsatisfying to us, and probably Diophantus too. Ancient Greek mathematics was concerned with real quantities. Negatives and zeros were generally understood to be ignored as "less interesting".

Another easy(ish) solution: (X, Y) = (1, 6).

Less-obvious solution:  $(X, Y) = (\frac{664}{169}, -\frac{11220}{2197}).$ 

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 二日

$$E: 6Y - Y^2 = X^3 - X.$$

Unsatisfying to us, and probably Diophantus too. Ancient Greek mathematics was concerned with real quantities. Negatives and zeros were generally understood to be ignored as "less interesting".

Another easy(ish) solution: (X, Y) = (1, 6).

Less-obvious solution:  $(X, Y) = (\frac{664}{169}, -\frac{11220}{2197}).$ 

A non-obvious solution:  $(X, Y) = \left(-\frac{10370209823}{214448643396}, -\frac{797444260812577}{99308164475680056}\right)$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Clearly something mysterious going on. Diophantus himself produced the solution

$$(X,Y)=\left(\tfrac{17}{9},\tfrac{26}{27}\right).$$

He does so using the group law on the elliptic curve.

∃ ► < ∃ ►

Clearly something mysterious going on. Diophantus himself produced the solution

$$(X,Y)=\left(\tfrac{17}{9},\tfrac{26}{27}\right).$$

He does so using the group law on the elliptic curve.

A group is a set G with a binary operation  $\cdot$  such that

• For all 
$$g, h \in G$$
, one has  $g \cdot h \in G$ 

- ② There is a distinguished element Id<sub>G</sub> such that g · Id<sub>G</sub> = Id<sub>G</sub> · g = g for all g ∈ G.
- **§** For every  $g \in G$ , there is a  $g^{-1} \in G$  such that  $g \cdot g^{-1} = \text{Id}_G$ .
- The operation  $\cdot$  is **associative**—i.e.  $(g \cdot h) \cdot k = g \cdot (h \cdot k)$ .

(日)

Clearly something mysterious going on. Diophantus himself produced the solution

$$(X,Y)=\left(\tfrac{17}{9},\tfrac{26}{27}\right).$$

He does so using the group law on the elliptic curve.

A group is a set G with a binary operation  $\cdot$  such that

• For all 
$$g, h \in G$$
, one has  $g \cdot h \in G$ 

- ② There is a distinguished element Id<sub>G</sub> such that g · Id<sub>G</sub> = Id<sub>G</sub> · g = g for all g ∈ G.
- **§** For every  $g \in G$ , there is a  $g^{-1} \in G$  such that  $g \cdot g^{-1} = \text{Id}_G$ .
- The operation  $\cdot$  is **associative**—i.e.  $(g \cdot h) \cdot k = g \cdot (h \cdot k)$ .

(日)

### The group law on elliptic curves



Figure: Elliptic Curve addition.

## The group law on elliptic curves (cont.)

Diophantus used the group law (though he did not know it) to produce his solution  $(X, Y) = (\frac{17}{9}, \frac{26}{27})$  to  $E: 6Y - Y^2 = X^3 - X$ .

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

## The group law on elliptic curves (cont.)

Diophantus used the group law (though he did not know it) to produce his solution  $(X, Y) = (\frac{17}{9}, \frac{26}{27})$  to  $E: 6Y - Y^2 = X^3 - X$ .

Starting with the simpler solution P = (-1, 6), one can use the group law by adding P to itself to get a new solution.

イロト イ部ト イヨト イヨトー

# The group law on elliptic curves (cont.)

Diophantus used the group law (though he did not know it) to produce his solution  $(X, Y) = (\frac{17}{9}, \frac{26}{27})$  to  $E: 6Y - Y^2 = X^3 - X$ .

Starting with the simpler solution P = (-1, 6), one can use the group law by adding P to itself to get a new solution.

It is a long and tedious calculation.

```
> E;
Elliptic Curve defined by y<sup>2</sup> - 6*y = x<sup>3</sup> - x over Rational Field
> P:=RationalPoints(E : Bound:=50)[6];
> P;
(1 : 6 : 1)
> time P+P;
(-17/9 : 26/27 : 1)
Time: 0.000
>
```

The group law on elliptic curves is **special**. A random curve is very unlikely to have a group law. This makes studying elliptic curves somewhat feasible, relative to curves defined by polynomials of higher degree.

The group law on elliptic curves is **special**. A random curve is very unlikely to have a group law. This makes studying elliptic curves somewhat feasible, relative to curves defined by polynomials of higher degree.

Points on an elliptic curve E have the structure of a **finitely-generated abelian group**.

The group law on elliptic curves is **special**. A random curve is very unlikely to have a group law. This makes studying elliptic curves somewhat feasible, relative to curves defined by polynomials of higher degree.

Points on an elliptic curve *E* have the structure of a **finitely-generated abelian group**. The group of rational points, written  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ , takes the special form

$$\Xi(\mathbb{Q})\simeq T+\mathbb{Z}^r.$$

Two parts:

- T: finite subgroup of torsion points,
- *r*: the number of independent generators of infinite order, called the **rank.**

The torsion subgroup T is well-understood. In fact, it has been classified exactly. There are only 15 possible groups T can be.

The rank is much more mysterious.

$$y^2 = x^3 + x + 29 \rightsquigarrow$$
 no rational points  
 $y^2 = x^3 + x + 30 \rightsquigarrow 1$  rational point  
 $y^2 = x^3 + x + 31 \rightsquigarrow$  infinitely many rational points

The torsion subgroup T is well-understood. In fact, it has been classified exactly. There are only 15 possible groups T can be.

The rank is much more mysterious.

$$y^{2} = x^{3} + x + 29 \rightsquigarrow r = 0 \& T = C_{1}$$
  
 $y^{2} = x^{3} + x + 30 \rightsquigarrow r = 0 \& T = C_{2}$   
 $y^{2} = x^{3} + x + 31 \rightsquigarrow r = 1 \& T = C_{1}$ 

BSD describes exactly how to find the rank of an elliptic curve E. It is one of the first major conjectures in number theory to arise from large-scale computer calculations.

BSD describes exactly how to find the rank of an elliptic curve E. It is one of the first major conjectures in number theory to arise from large-scale computer calculations.

Fundamental idea: look at the elliptic curves modulo prime numbers.

BSD describes exactly how to find the rank of an elliptic curve E. It is one of the first major conjectures in number theory to arise from large-scale computer calculations.

Fundamental idea: look at the elliptic curves modulo prime numbers.

It is **not enough** to find points on a curve mod p and lift them to  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

### Birch and Swinnerton Dyer's conjecture (cont.)

However, it is still worth a try.

Principle: if an elliptic curve has rank > 0, it has "lots of points", so it should still have "lots of points" (mod p).

## Birch and Swinnerton Dyer's conjecture (cont.)

However, it is still worth a try.

Principle: if an elliptic curve has rank > 0, it has "lots of points", so it should still have "lots of points" (mod p).

Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer developed the conjecture in the 1960s.



(c) Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer



(d) Computers in the 1960s

くロト く伺 ト くきト くきト

### Elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_p$

 $\mathbb{F}_{p} = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}.$ 

$$E: y^2 = x^3 - x + 9$$

Let p = 5. A point on E over  $\mathbb{F}_5$  is a pair  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$  satisfying E.

æ

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

 $\mathbb{F}_{p} = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}.$ 

$$E: y^2 = x^3 - x + 9$$

Let p = 5. A **point on** E **over**  $\mathbb{F}_5$  is a pair  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$  satisfying E.

E.g. (x, y) = (4, 2).

Hasse-Weil bound tell us

$$-2\sqrt{p} + p + 1 \le \# E(\mathbb{F}_p) \le 2\sqrt{p} + p + 1.$$

or, if you prefer,

$$|\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)-(p+1)|\leq 2\sqrt{p}.$$

2

The original statement of the conjecture is the following:

$$\prod_{p \le X} \frac{\# E(\mathbb{F}_p)}{p} \approx C \log(X)^{\operatorname{rank}(E)}$$

as  $X \to \infty$ . Here C is some constant.

э

4 B K 4 B K

The original statement of the conjecture is the following:

$$\prod_{p \le X} \frac{\# E(\mathbb{F}_p)}{p} \approx C \log(X)^{\operatorname{rank}(E)}$$

as  $X \to \infty$ . Here *C* is some constant.

Which one of these curves "should" have rank > 0?

æ

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

# BSD example (cont.)





(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

æ

# BSD example (cont.)





(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

æ

Combining the work of Coates & Wiles, Gross & Zagier, Kolyvagin, Wiles, Taylor & Wiles and Breuil-Conrad-Diamond-Taylor, one has the following:

Theorem (due to everyone above + more)

BSD is true for all elliptic curves of rank 0 and rank 1.

Combining the work of Coates & Wiles, Gross & Zagier, Kolyvagin, Wiles, Taylor & Wiles and Breuil-Conrad-Diamond-Taylor, one has the following:

Theorem (due to everyone above + more)

BSD is true for all elliptic curves of rank 0 and rank 1.

For ranks  $\geq$  2, nothing is known. We can't even provably *verify* the conjecture for ranks  $\geq$  4.

Combining the work of Coates & Wiles, Gross & Zagier, Kolyvagin, Wiles, Taylor & Wiles and Breuil-Conrad-Diamond-Taylor, one has the following:

Theorem (due to everyone above + more)

BSD is true for all elliptic curves of rank 0 and rank 1.

For ranks  $\geq$  2, nothing is known. We can't even provably *verify* the conjecture for ranks  $\geq$  4.

The Clay Institute's \$1,000,000 is still waiting to be claimed...

#### Fermat's Last Theorem

Fermat's equation;  $X^n + y^n = Z^n$ This equation has no solutions in integers for  $n \ge 3$ .

Wiles' strategy: start with an assumed solution  $a^p + b^p = c^p$  for a prime p. Use this to create an elliptic curve

$$E: y^2 = x(x-a^p)(x+b^p)$$

called a Frey curve.

Wiles' strategy: start with an assumed solution  $a^p + b^p = c^p$  for a prime p. Use this to create an elliptic curve

$$E: y^2 = x(x-a^p)(x+b^p)$$

called a Frey curve.

Because  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the curve E ends up having very special properties.

Wiles' strategy: start with an assumed solution  $a^p + b^p = c^p$  for a prime p. Use this to create an elliptic curve

$$E: y^2 = x(x-a^p)(x+b^p)$$

called a Frey curve.

Because  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the curve E ends up having very special properties.

In particular, it cannot be **modular**. Wiles proved that all\* elliptic curves *are* modular, so the solution leads to a curve that can't exist.